Applicant: Lance W. Russell
Serial No.: 09/895,235

Attorney's Docket
Amendment da

Filed : June 28, 2001

Page : 2 of 10

Attorney's Docket No.: 10003532-1 Amendment dated April 4, 2006 Reply to Office action dated Jan. 13, 2006

Amendments to the Claims

The following Listing of Claims replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A system for managing a plurality of distributed nodes of a network, comprising:

a network management module that proactively launches migratory recovery modules into the network to detect failed ones of the network nodes;

wherein each of the a recovery modules is configured to migrate from one network node to another, determine a respective status of a each of the network nodes to which it has migrated, and initiate a recovery process on a failed ones of the network nodes.

Claim 2 (currently amended): The system of claim 1, wherein at least one of the recovery modules comprises a respective routing component for determining next hop addresses for migrating the recovery module from an origin network node to a series of successive destination network nodes.

Claim 3 (previously presented): The system of claim 2, wherein the routing component is configured to determine the next hop addresses based upon a routing table stored at the origin network node.

Claim 4 (currently amended): The system of claim 1, wherein at least one of the recovery modules is configured to determine the status of a network node by sending an inter-process communication to a node process.

Claim 5 (currently amended): A system for managing a plurality of distributed nodes of a network, comprising:

a recovery module configured to migrate from one network node to another, determine a status of a network node, and initiate a recovery process on a failed network

Applicant: Lance W. Russell
Serial No.: 09/895,235
Attorney's Docket No.: 10003532-1
Amendment dated April 4, 2006

Filed: June 28, 2001 Reply to Office action dated Jan. 13, 2006

Page : 3 of 10

<u>node</u>The system of claim—1, wherein the recovery module is configured to determine the status of a network node in accordance with a heartbeat messaging protocol.

Claim 6 (currently amended): The system of claim 1, wherein each of the recovery modules is configured to initiate a recovery process on a failed network node in accordance with a restart protocol.

Claim 7 (currently amended): The system of claim 6, wherein each of the recovery modules is configured to initiate a restart of a failed node process by transmitting a request to a process execution service operating on the failed network node.

Claim 8 (currently amended): The system of claim 1, wherein <u>each of</u> the recovery modules is configured to transmit a <u>respective</u> node status message to <u>athe</u> network management module-operating at a network management network node.

Claim 9 (currently amended): The system of claim 8, wherein <u>each of</u> the node status messages comprises information obtained from a <u>respective</u> log file generated at thea <u>respective</u> failed <u>one of the network nodes</u>.

Claim 10 (canceled)

Claim 11 (currently amended): A method for managing a plurality of distributed nodes of a network, comprising:

- (a) on a current one of the network nodes, determining a status of the current network node;
- (b) in response to a determination that the current network <u>node</u> has failed, initiating a recovery process on the current network node;
- (c) <u>after initiating the recovery process</u>, migrating from the current network node to a successive one of the network nodes; and
- (d) repeating (a), (b), and (c) with the current network node corresponding to the successive network node for each of the nodes in the network.

Applicant : Lance W. Russell
Serial No.: 09/895,235

Attorney's Docket No.: 10003532-1
Amendment dated April 4, 2006

Filed: June 28, 2001

Reply to Office action dated Jan. 13, 2006

Page : 4 of 10

Claim 12 (original): The method of claim 11, wherein migrating from one network node to another comprises determining a next hop address from an origin network node to a destination network node.

Claim 13 (original): The method of claim 12, wherein the next hop address is determined based upon a routing table stored at the origin network node.

Claim 14 (original): The method of claim 11, wherein the status of a network node is determined by sending an inter-process communication to a node process.

Claim 15 (original): The method of claim 11, wherein the status of a network node is determined in accordance with a heartbeat messaging protocol.

Claim 16 (original): The method of claim 11, wherein a recovery process is initiated on a failed network node in accordance with a restart protocol.

Claim 17 (original): The method of claim 16, wherein a restart of a failed node process is initiated by transmitting a request to a process execution service operating on the failed network node.

Claim 18 (original): The method of claim 11, further comprising transmitting a node status message to a network management module operating at a network management network node.

Claim 19 (original): The method of claim 11, further comprising launching into the network a plurality of recovery modules, each configured to migrate from one network node to another, determine the status of a network node, and initiate a recovery process on a failed network node.

Claim 20 (currently amended): A computer program for managing a plurality of distributed nodes of a network, the computer program residing on a computer-readable

Applicant : Lance W. Russell
Serial No. : 09/895,235

Attorney's Docket No.: 10003532-1
Amendment dated April 4, 2006

Filed : June 28, 2001 Reply to Office action dated Jan. 13, 2006
Page : 5 of 10

medium and comprising computer-readable instructions for causing a computer to <u>perform</u> operations comprising:

migrate migrating the computer program from one network node to a series of successive network nodes;

determine determining a status of each a current one of the network nodes to which the computer program is has migrated; and

in response to a determination that the current network has failed, initiate initiating a recovery process on each the current network node to which the computer program is migrated and is determined to have failed; and

after initiating the recovery process on the current network node, migrating from the current network node to a successive one of the network nodes.

Claim 21 (currently amended): The system of claim 1, wherein each of the recovery modules is a software object that is instantiatable by a respective operating environment on each network node.

Claim 22 (currently amended): The system of claim 21, wherein the operating environment on each of the network nodes provides <u>each of</u> the recovery modules with access to status monitoring resources, recovery resources, and native operative system resources that are available at each of the network nodes.

Claim 23 (currently amended): The system of claim 1, wherein, upon migrating from a first one of the network nodes to a second one of the network nodes and being instantiated on the second node, each of the recovery modules determines a status of the second network node.

Claim 24 (currently amended): The system of claim 23, wherein each of the recovery modules initiates the recovery process on the second node in response to a determination that the second node has failed.

Applicant: Lance W. Russell
Serial No.: 09/895,235

Attorney's Docket No.: 10003532-1
Amendment dated April 4, 2006

Filed: June 28, 2001 Reply to Office action dated Jan. 13, 2006

Page : 6 of 10

Claim 25 (currently amended): The system of claim 23, wherein <u>each of</u> the recovery modules is configured to migrate to a third one of the network nodes after determining the status of the second network node.

Claim 26 (new): The system of claim 1, wherein the network management module proactively launches the recovery modules into the network without being prompted by messages received from external sources.

Claim 27 (new): The system of claim 1, wherein the network management module determines a number of the recovery modules needed to achieve a specified network monitoring service level, and proactively launches the determined number of recovery modules into the network to achieve the specified network monitoring service level.

Claim 28 (new): The system of claim 1, wherein the network management module statistically identifies target ones of the network nodes to achieve a specified confidence level of network monitoring reliability, and proactively launches the recovery modules into the network by transmitting respective ones of the recovery modules to the identified target network nodes.

Claim 29 (new): The method of claim 11, further comprising:

determining a number of the recovery modules needed to achieve a specified network monitoring service level;

statistically identifying target ones of the network nodes to achieve a specified confidence level of network monitoring reliability; and

proactively transmitting the determined number of the recovery modules to the identified target network nodes.